

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/693,019	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BABA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tri H. Phan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2616	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Tri H. Phan.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Joseph Giordano.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 October 2006
**Time:** 4:10 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Problem with functional language in claim 16.*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 16*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Discussed on changing claim 16 with more active language and agreement was reached. Authorization for the examiner's amendment on claim 16.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)